

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



D STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE States Patent and Trademark, Office COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS COMMISSIONER FOR PA : COMMISSIONER FOR PA : F.O Box 1439 Abruncha, Vingleia 22313-1430 www.upto.gov

PAPER NUMBER

APPLICATION NO	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/863,458	05/24/2001	Satoshi Saito	Q64329	1249
7590 01/25/2004			EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC			DOVE, TRACY MAE	

Washington, DC 20037

ART UNIT DATE MAILED: 01/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 09/863.458 Advisory Action SAITO ET AL. Examiner Art Unit Tracy Dove 1745

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address

THE REPLY FILED 29 December 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 113 may give either: (1) a triefly field amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compflance with 37 CFR 1.114.

## PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]

- a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection
- b) The period for reply expires on (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1 138(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1 138(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the penod of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)

- 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on \_\_\_\_\_ Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
- 2 The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
  - - (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see Note below):
  - (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
  - (d) they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: \_\_\_\_
- Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): the 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, rejection.
- 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
- 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: claims 1, 11 and 12 are still tauafit by the prior art of record.
- 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
- 7. 

  Note That is a superstanding of the proposed amendment (s) a) 

  Note That is a superstanding of the proposed amendment (s) a) 

  Note That is a superstanding of the proposed amendment (s) a) 

  Note That is a superstanding of the proposed amendment (s) a) 

  Note That is a superstanding of the proposed amendment (s) a) 

  Note That is a superstanding of the proposed amendment (s) a) 

  Note That is a superstanding of the proposed amendment (s) a) 

  Note That is a superstanding of the proposed amendment (s) a) 

  Note That is a superstanding of the proposed amendment (s) a) 

  Note That is a superstanding of the proposed amendment (s) a) 

  Note That is a superstanding of the proposed amendment (s) a) 

  Note That is a superstanding of the proposed amendment (s) a) 

  Note That is a superstanding of the proposed amendment (s) a) 

  Note That is a superstanding of the proposed amendment (s) a) 

  Note That is a superstanding of the proposed amendment (s) a) 

  Note That is a superstanding of the proposed amendment (s) a) 

  Note That is a superstanding of the proposed amendment (s) and the proposed amendment (s) a superstanding of the proposed amendment (s) and the p explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: 2-10,21 and 22, Claim(s) objected to: 13-20

Claim(s) rejected: 1,11 and 12

- Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
- The drawing correction filled on \_\_is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- Note the attached information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).
- 10. Other: See Continuation Sheet

Continuation of 10, Other. The limitation "respectively corresponding" is interpreted broadly when applying prior art. Thus, Henk still attrictables claim 1. Hendate does not teach the recesses are formed as indentations in the cover. Hamada leads one to conclude the recesses project conversation must extract eclowards the fixing member of the cover (see Figure 10, side view).

STUPPHEN KALAFUT PRIMATAY EXAMINES PRIMATAY EXAMINES